



AI Conrad &lt;aconrad@lbto.org&gt;

---

## SOUL Handover punch list

1 message

---

AI Conrad <aconrad@lbto.org>

Mon, Oct 9, 2023 at 1:36 PM

To: Enrico Pinna <enrico.pinna@inaf.it>

Cc: Sam Ragland <sragland@lbto.org>

Hi Enrico,

Please find below responses to your [punch list](#) comments.

AI

- L\_01: *I propose to break it down in a few more detailed actions.*
  - I broke it into these 3:
    - L\_01A: Ocam issue #1 = Zero Slopes
    - L\_01B: Ocam issue #2 = Negative Intensity
    - L\_01C: Ocam issue #3 = Not live
  - Regarding #1, Here is an [update](#) from the LBT side.
  - Regarding #2, Curious to know the plan. Fabio and Alessandro were not able to replicate the problem with the test set up in the lab, right?
  - Regarding #3, You had mentioned this is resolved (IIRC), but we are having lost time to "not live" (but possibly operators are using the same name for a new problem (??)).
- L\_06: *There is a document with test by JCG and comment by GAG. Code of blinded SA delivered as .pro in mail 14/06 to JCG and ALC with subject "LBTI blind SA REC"*
  - I responded on that thread asking for an update and JCG responded. Let's discuss that response on Wednesday.
- L\_08: *Analysis reported in D04 Sect. 6.5 We propose to close this action.*
  - Let's discuss this. If it really translates to a "loss in SR(H) of [only] 0.3%," then I agree that we should consider closing it, but it's up to Sam.
- CR\_DX\_LUCI\_105: *The action reported in the table is: "Clarify the text in 7.1.4" I suggest to report in the description the text of the identified action.*
  - Done
- CR\_DX\_LUCI\_115: *Surely this is a key action item. As reported in the review, we will be happy to support from our side. Probably a flowdown in several actions is required.*
  - OK. Let's discuss who should lead this. Strictly speaking, this item is not required for handover; but it is a very high priority for the observatory.
- CR\_DX\_LUCI\_117: *I think the point is not about simulation, but in the real computation of the WFS mag. However I think this can be absorbed by the new computation strategy proposed in slide #4 of the review presentation*
  - OK, I fixed the description. Are you referring to the slide titled "RIX-120-DT EPI" of the [presentation](#)?
- CR\_DX\_LBTI\_064: *Here the action in table is: "Report the current status of iteration with FLI at the review". This has been done. I propose to close this action.*
  - OK, with me.
- CR\_DX\_LBTI\_075: *Here the action in table is: "Provide update on O2k.01 Sipe 0 issue at the review". This has been done. I propose to close this action.*
  - OK, with me.
- CR\_DX\_LBTI\_098: *The text refers to action CR\_DX\_LUCI\_105. The reported action is: "New pupils to be implemented into ACEtables? I believe these are still pending testing for LBTI WFS?"*
  - OK, I fixed the description and sent mail to Jenny requesting the status of the testing. Jenny responded that LBT requires the procedure for pupil generation before they can proceed with testing.
- Fix numbering for VM\_DX\_LBTI\_37,39,40,42
  - Done

--  
Dr. Albert R Conrad  
LBTO Scientist  
805-630-4813  
Skype: [conrad.al](https://www.skype.com/people/conrad.al)  
Zoom: 445-182-6827